

Government of Nepal
Ministry of Federal Affairs and General Administration
Department of Local Infrastructure (DoLI)
Rural Connectivity Improvement Project (RCIP)
Project Coordination Unit (PCU)
Shreemahal, Pulchowk, Lalitpur, Nepal

ADB Loan No. 3620-NEP

Notice of Intention to Award the Contract
(First date of Publication: 16 April 2021)

This is to notify to all concerned bidders pursuant to Section 27, Sub-section 2 of the Public Procurement Act, 2063 that we intend to accept the bids received for the IFB No.: DoLI/RCIP/NCB-01/2077/078 dated 28 December 2020 for the Upgrading and Performance Based Maintenance of three (3) rural roads in Jhapa, Morang and Rukum (East) districts with the contract packages as listed below under Rural Connectivity Improvement Project (RCIP). The Bidder's Bid price as corrected and modified in accordance with the ITB is as follows:

S.N.	Contract No.	Substantially Responsive and Lowest Evaluated Bidder	Bid Amount including PS & VAT	
			in Figure (NRs)	in Words (NRs)
1	DoLI/RCIP/Works/NCB-12/2077/078	M/S Rautaha Construction Pvt. Ltd, Triyuga Municipality-2, Udayapur	319,195,761.85	Three hundred nineteen million one hundred ninety five thousand seven hundred sixty one and paiza eighty five only.
2	DoLI/RCIP/Works/NCB-14/2077/078	M/S Lama Construction Pvt. Ltd, Maharajgunj Kathmandu	337,378,567.94	Three hundred thirty seven million three hundred seventy eight thousand five hundred sixty seven and paiza ninety four only.

Further, pursuant to Clause 40.2 of Instruction to Bidder of the bidding document, detail information is posted on the web site of DoLI at the address <http://www.doli.gov.np/rcip/>.

K. K. K.

[Handwritten signature]

Notification to the Bidders of the Results of the Bidding Process

This is to notify to all concerned bidders that pursuant to ITB 40.2; the results of the bids received for the IFB No.: DoLI/RCIP/NCB-01/2077/078 dated 28 December 2020 for the Upgrading and Performance Based Maintenance of three (3) rural roads in Jhapa, Morang and Rukum (East) districts under **Contract No.: DoLI/RCIP/Works/NCB-12/2077/078** & **Contract No.: DoLI/RCIP/Works/NCB-14/2077/078** under Rural Connectivity Improvement Project (RCIP) are as detailed below:

A. For Contract No.: DoLI/RCIP/Works/NCB-12/2077/078:

(i) Name of the Bidders who submitted the Bids and Found Technically Qualified to Open Their Price Bids

Name of the Bidder Submitting Bids	Name of Technically Qualified Bidders for Their Price Bid Opening	Reason for Rejection for Price Bid Opening
(i) M/S Rautaha Construction Pvt. Ltd, Triyuga Municipality-2, Udayapur	(i) M/S Rautaha Construction Pvt. Ltd, Triyuga Municipality-2, Udayapur	(i) The bidder M/S PS-BANIYA JV had not submitted The Letter of Technical Bid in the Technical Bid Response document. The Letter of Price Bid was found being attached with the Technical Bid Response Document. Section-1 Instruction to Bidders "11. Documents Comprising Technical Proposal" which states that the Technical Bid shall comprise of the Letter of Technical Bid. Also, Section-1 Instruction to Bidders 25.1 states "if the technical bid and price bid are submitted together in one envelope, the employer may reject the entire bid". (ii) The bidder M/S Raman-Gajurmukhi JV had not submitted Method Statement so their bid is considered incomplete and hence non-responsive as per Section 3: Evaluation & Qualification Criteria, 1.1 Adequacy of Technical Proposal, which states "Non-submission of equipment requirement, personnel requirement and the Method Statement shall be ground for declaring the bid nonresponsive". (iii) The bidder M/S Swachhanda-Kanchharam JV did not meet the Financial Resources Requirement as per "Section 3-Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, 2.3.3 Financial Resources". (iv) The bidder M/S Diwa-Shah Engineering JV had not submitted Method Statement so their bid is considered incomplete and hence non-responsive as per Section 3: Evaluation & Qualification Criteria, 1.1 Adequacy of Technical Proposal, which states "Non-submission of equipment requirement, personnel requirement and the Method Statement shall be ground for declaring the bid nonresponsive".
(ii) M/S PS-BANIYA JV, Hetauda-18, Makwanpur	(ii) M/S Lama Construction Pvt. Ltd, Maharajgunj Kathmandu	
(iii) M/S Raman-Gajurmukhi JV, Baneshwor-10 Kathmandu		
(iv) M/S Swachhanda Kanchharam JV, Maljubahal-7, Kathmandu		
(v) M/S Diwa-Shah Engineering JV, Satdobato-15, Lalitpur		
(vi) M/S Lama Construction Pvt. Ltd, Maharajgunj Kathmandu		

(ii) Bid Prices as Read out at Bid Opening and Rejected Bidders for Further Price Bid Evaluation, if any

S.N.	Name of Bidders for Price Bid Opening	Bid Prices Read Out at Bid Opening (NPR)	Reason for Rejection for further Price Bid Evaluation, if any
i	M/S Rautaha Construction Pvt. Ltd, Triyuga Municipality-2, Udayapur	279,730,762.78 (Without VAT and PS)	None
ii	M/S Lama Construction Pvt. Ltd, Maharajgunj Kathmandu	301,311,031.07 (Without VAT and PS)	None

M/S Rautaha

(iii) Name and Evaluated Bid Prices of each Bid that was Evaluated and Reason of Rejection

S.N.	Name of Bidder	Evaluated and Corrected Bid Price (including PS and VAT) (NPR)	Reason for Rejection
i	M/S Rautaha Construction Pvt. Ltd, Triyuga Municipality-2, Udayapur	319,195,761.85	Winning Bidder.
ii	M/S Lama Construction Pvt. Ltd, Maharajgunj Kathmandu	343,581,464.86	Not Winning Bidder, 2 nd ranked and not providing maximum saving to the Employer

(iv) Name of Winning Bidder, the Price It Offered and Duration and Summary Scope of the Contract Awarded

Name of Winning Bidder	Offered Price (including PS and VAT) (NPR)	Duration of Contract	Summary Scope of the Contract
M/S Rautaha Construction Pvt. Ltd, Triyuga Municipality-2, Udayapur	319,195,761.85	Phase 1 – Construction Phase: Upgrading Works of 24 months period. DLP of Phase 1: 12 months period. Phase 2 – PBM Phase: Performance Based Maintenance (PBM) Works and Services of 24 months period.	Two different rural roads in Jhapa and Morang districts totaling 13.107 km to be upgraded during construction phase including earthwork, pavement (asphalt concrete), masonry, concrete, bio-engineering, traffic management and road safety works, environmental and social safeguard works, PBM works and services; etc. The roads shall be maintained during DLP of Phase 1 and carry out PBM works and services during PBM phase of 24 months.

B. For Contract No.: DoLI/RCIP/Works/NCB-14/2077/078:

(v) Name of the Bidders who submitted the Bids and Found Technically Qualified to Open Their Price Bids

Name of the Bidder Submitting Bids	Name of Technically Qualified Bidders for Their Price Bid Opening	Reason for Rejection for Price Bid Opening
(i) M/S Swachchhanda-Bandan Bhagwati JV, Battisputali, Kathmandu	(i) M/S Rautaha-P.K. JV, Ghorahi, Dang	(i) The bidder M/S Swachchhanda-Bandan Bhagwati JV had not submitted Method Statement so their bid is considered incomplete and hence non-responsive as per Section 3: Evaluation & Qualification Criteria, 1.1 Adequacy of Technical Proposal, which states "Non-submission of equipment requirement, personnel requirement and the Method Statement shall be ground for declaring the bid nonresponsive".
(ii) M/S Rautaha-P.K. JV, Ghorahi, Dang	(ii) M/S Lama Construction Company Pvt. Ltd., Maharajgunj, Kathmandu	(ii) The bidder M/S PS-Baniya JV did not meet the Construction Experience in similar size and nature requirement as per "Section 3-Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, 2.4.1. Contracts of Similar Size and Nature".
(iii) M/S PS-Baniya JV, Hetauda-18, Makwanpur		(iii) The bidder M/S Raman-Gajurmukhi JV had not submitted Method Statement so their bid is considered incomplete and hence non-responsive as per Section 3: Evaluation & Qualification Criteria, 1.1 Adequacy of Technical Proposal, which states "Non-submission of equipment requirement, personnel requirement and the Method Statement shall be ground for declaring the bid nonresponsive".
(iv) M/S Raman-Gajurmukhi JV, Baneshwor-10, Kathmandu		(iv) The bidder M/S Thodung-Mahalaxmi JV had not submitted Method Statement so their bid is considered incomplete and hence non-responsive as per Section 3: Evaluation & Qualification Criteria, 1.1 Adequacy of Technical Proposal, which states "Non-submission of equipment requirement, personnel requirement and the Method Statement shall be ground for declaring the bid nonresponsive".
(v) M/S Thodung-Mahalaxmi JV, New Baneshwor, Kathmandu		(v) The bidder M/S Diwa Nirman Sewa Pvt. Ltd had not
(vi) M/S Diwa Nirman Sewa Pvt. Ltd., Satdobato, Lalitpur		
(vii) M/S Lama Construction Company Pvt. Ltd., Maharajgunj, Kathmandu		
(viii) M/S Kanchharam Construction Company Pvt. Ltd., Maijubahal-7, Kathmandu		
(ix) M/S C.M.-Dhulikhel JV, Baneshwor-10, Kathmandu		
(x) M/S Khadka Krishna-Tirupate JV, Ghorahi, Dang		
(xi) M/S Pacific Engineering Company Pvt. Ltd., Dhumbarahi, Kathmandu		

		<p>submitted Method Statement so their bid is considered incomplete and hence non-responsive as per Section 3: Evaluation & Qualification Criteria, 1.1 Adequacy of Technical Proposal, which states "Non-submission of equipment requirement, personnel requirement and the Method Statement shall be ground for declaring the bid nonresponsive".</p> <p>(vi) The bidder M/S Kanchharam Construction Company Pvt. Ltd did not meet the Financial Resources Requirement as per "Section 3-Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, 2.3.3 Financial Resources".</p> <p>(vii) The bidder M/S C.M.-Dhulikhel JV did not meet the Construction Experience in similar size and nature requirement as per "Section 3-Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, 2.4.1. Contracts of Similar Size and Nature".</p> <p>(viii) The bidder M/S Khadka Krishna-Tirupate JV did not meet the Financial Resources Requirement as per "Section 3-Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, 2.3.3 Financial Resources".</p> <p>(ix) The bidder M/S Pacific Engineering Company Pvt. Ltd. did not meet the Construction Experience in similar size and nature requirement as per "Section 3-Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, 2.4.1. Contracts of Similar Size and Nature".</p>
--	--	--

(vi) Bid Prices as Read out at Bid Opening and Rejected Bidders for Further Price Bid Evaluation, if any

S.N.	Name of Bidders for Price Bid Opening	Bid Prices Read Out at Bid Opening (NPR)	Reason for Rejection for further Price Bid Evaluation, if any
i	M/S Rautaha-PK JV, Ghorahi Dang	332,236,251.02 (Without VAT and PS)	None
ii	M/S Lama Construction Pvt. Ltd, Maharajgunj Kathmandu	296,706,699.49 (Without VAT and PS)	None

(vii) Name and Evaluated Bid Prices of each Bid that was Evaluated and Reason of Rejection

S.N.	Name of Bidder	Evaluated and Corrected Bid Price (including PS and VAT) (NPR)	Reason for Rejection
i	M/S Rautaha-PK JV, Ghorahi Dang	377,526,959.78	Not Winning Bidder, 2 nd ranked and not providing maximum saving to the Employer
ii	M/S Lama Construction Pvt. Ltd, Maharajgunj Kathmandu	337,378,567.94	Winning Bidder.

(viii) Name of Winning Bidder, the Price It Offered and Duration and Summary Scope of the Contract Awarded

Name of Winning Bidder	Offered Price (including PS and VAT) (NPR)	Duration of Contract	Summary Scope of the Contract
M/S Lama Construction Pvt. Ltd, Maharajgunj Kathmandu	337,378,567.94	<p>Phase 1 – Construction Phase: Upgrading Works of 24 months period.</p> <p>DLP of Phase 1: 12 months period.</p> <p>Phase 2 – PBM Phase: Performance Based Maintenance (PBM) Works and Services of 24 months period.</p>	<p>One rural road in Rukum (East) district totaling 10.255 km to be upgraded during construction phase including earthwork, pavement (asphalt concrete), masonry, concrete, bio-engineering, traffic management and road safety works, environmental and social safeguard works, PBM works and services; etc.</p> <p>The roads shall be maintained during DLP of Phase 1 and carry out PBM works and services during PBM phase of 24 months.</p>

M. Subedi

[Handwritten Signature]